TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225) Assistant United States Attorney

> (415) 436-7234 Facsimile: Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

11

12

13

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14

15

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No. 08-031 MHP STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 17 Plaintiff, EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161 18 v. 19

ENRIQUE CABRERA-LUVIANO, a/k/a Enrique Cabrera,

Defendant.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21

20

On January 28, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and requested that time from January 28, 2008 through February 25, 2008 be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations because defense counsel and government counsel must work with the U.S. Probation Office to ensure that an accurate presentence report is prepared before the defendant pleads guilty. The parties represented to the Court that the length of the requested continuance was the reasonable amount of time necessary for effective preparation of counsel, taking into

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time CR 08-031 MHP

1	account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed
2	that the ends of justice served by this continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and
3	the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
4	
5	SO STIPULATED:
6	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
7	United States Attorney
8	DATED: January 31, 2008  TAREK J. HELOU
9	Assistant United States Attorney
10	
11	DATED: January 31, 2008  GEOFFREY HANSEN
12	Attorney for Defendant Enrique Cabrera-Luviano
13	
14	
15	As the Court stated on January 28, 2008, and for the reasons identified above, the Court finds
16	that the ends of justice served by the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the
17	public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The Court also finds that time from January 28, 2008
18	through February 25, 2008 shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations for effective
19	preparation of counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Failing to grant the requested continuance
20	would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
21	exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §
22	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
23	
24	SO ORDERED.
25	
26	DATED: THE HONORABLE MARILYN HALL PATEL
27	United States District Judge
28	

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time CR 08-031 MHP

2